In response to the Examiner's further Office Action of December 24, 2008 the

Applicant respectfully submits the accompanying Amendment of the claims and the below

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Remarks.

Regarding Amendment

In the Amendment:

claims 1-17 are cancelled:

independent claim 18 is amended to omit recitation of "enabling" and the first and

temporary telecommunication addresses and to specify that the coded data identifies the

application, the sensing device identity is associated with an identity of the user, the

interaction data includes data on the application and sensing device identities, the

application and the sensing device is identified from the identity data included in the

interaction data, the identified application and sensing device identities are translated into an

alias identity, the alias identity and interaction data are sent to the identified application,

information is received from the identified application addressed to the alias identity, and

the information is forwarded from the application to the user via the alias identity;

dependent claims 19 and 24-31 are cancelled:

dependent claims 20, 21 and 23 are amended to conform with amended claim 18;

dependent claims 22 and 32-34 are unchanged; and

withdrawn claims 35 and 36 are cancelled.

It is respectfully submitted that the Amendment does not add any new matter to the

present application because support for the amendments can be found, for example, at page

24, lines 29-35, page 42, line 14-page 44, line 13, page 45, line 28-page 46, line 26 and page

47, lines 6-19 of the present specification.

Regarding 35 USC 112, first paragraph Rejections

It is respectfully submitted that the amended independent claim 18, and claims 20-23

and 32-34 dependent therefrom, are fully enabled by the present specification.

Regarding 35 USC 112, second paragraph Rejections

Appln No. 10/815637 Amdt. Dated: March 25, 2009

Response to Office Action of December 24, 2008

It is respectfully submitted that the amended claims 18 and 21 are definite because

5

the language indicated by the Examiner has been omitted.

Regarding 35 USC 101 Rejections

The Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the non-statutory subject matter

rejections of claims 1-17 based on the cancellation of these claims.

Regarding 35 USC 103(a) Rejections

It is respectfully submitted that the subject matter of amended independent claim 18,

and claims 20-23 and 32-34 dependent therefrom, is not taught or suggested by Hogan

Persidsky and Dymetman either considered alone or in view of one another, because none of

these cited references teach or suggest anonymous communication between a user and

application using an alias identity translated from a sensing device and application

identities, as is required by the claimed invention.

It is respectfully submitted that all of the Examiner's rejections have been traversed.

Accordingly, it is submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance and

reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

Very respectfully,

Applicant/s:

Mayel-

Jacqueline Anne Lapstun

ans

Kia Silverbrook

Roll.

Paul Lapstun

Qualitical

Paul Quentin Scott

Response to Office Action of December 24, 2008

C/o:

Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd

393 Darling Street

Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email:

kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com

Telephone:

+612 9818 6633

Facsimile:

+61 2 9555 7762